

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/091,805 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit Tuyen T. Nguyen

2832

Nakata et al.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) <u>Tuyen T. Nguyen</u> (3)
(2) MK Lyle Kimms (Reg 34,079) (4)
(2) Mr. Lyle Kimms (Reg 34, 879) (4) Date of Interview Jan 22, 2003
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) 【 Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) 【 applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: Claims of reword.
Identification of prior art discussed:
frior art of record.
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Applicant will arend claims 17 and 42 down to overcome the prior art of language. Applicant egreed to withdraw the mon-elected claims, because claim I down't need on the ejected embodiment.
because claim I don't read on the ejected embodiment.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner's signature, if required